

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/509,048	Applicant(s) JEAN ET AL.	
	Examiner San-ming Hui	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Mr. Keith Gilman, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Gilman informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed August 8, 2005

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

San-ming Hui 3/6/06
 (Examiner/SPE/Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)